

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/901,535	Applicant(s) OLSON, LORIN	
	Examiner Monica A Fontaine	Art Unit 1732	

Applicant(s)	
---------------------	--

OLSON, LORIN

Art Unit	
----------	--

1732

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Part I.

Claims discussed:

30,31,33,40-46 and 48-55

Prior art documents discussed:

US 5733266. WO 00/74763

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. LaSalle wanted the examiner to make sure the above prior art, previously submitted on a PTO-1449, did not anticipate or suggest the instant invention. After analyzing the above prior art again, the examiner determined that the Amendments to the claims submitted 20 November 2003, along with additional proposed Examiner's Amendments, clearly distinguish the instant invention as novel over all prior art of record, specifically the documents listed above. Ms. LaSalle agreed to the proposed Examiner's Amendments, which are contained herein. .